

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,195	07/15/2003	Marie K. Wiese	790063.94566	8695
26710 75	590 08/02/2004		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			VALENZA, JOSEPH E	
SUITE 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE	, WI 53202-4497		3651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/620,195	WIESE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Valenza	3651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX s. cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U S C S 133)	ly. ommunication.
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for forma		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 18-23 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 12-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideratio		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/15/03.	Pap 5) Noti 6) Othe	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	9-152)
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No	o./Mail Date 4

Application/Control Number: 10/620,195

Art Unit: 3651

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bacigalupe et al.

Note figure 5.

- 2. Claims 2 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Claims 3-6, 9, 10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bacigalupe et al in view of Lanham et al.

It would have been obvious to add the teachings of functionally equivalent sweeper 136 of figure 8 of Lanham et al to the links 76 of Bacigalupe et al. The design (plate verses a brush-claim 3, composition-claim 4, mounting (slideable or fixed)-claim 5 or 6) has not been proven to be critical to the operation of the system over the teachings in the prior art.

- 4. Claims 18-23 are allowed.
- 5. Hoffmann et al is pertinent.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph Valenya